## LOCAL GREEN SPACES IN HISTON & IMPINGTON

# INFORMATION ON SITE: "St Audrey's Close Community Centre Green"

Version 14 May 2019

### INTRODUCTION

This template is for the compilation of information relevant to the designation of a particular site as a Local Green Space (LGS) in the Histon & Impington Neighbourhood Plan. It serves to inform decisions about LGS designation, to provide supporting evidence to the District planning authorities and to inform specific policies and management plans for the site. It is based on the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework and South Cambridgeshire Local Plan and draws on other guidance documents, as explained in the Histon and Impington LGS Framework paper. It is organised into sections, as listed below, but most sites will be significant for only a couple of the criteria covered.

- 1. General Information
- 2. Planning history and legal status
- 3. Size, scale and "local nature"
- 4. Need for and proximity/accessibility of Local Green Space
- 5. Evidence that it "is demonstrably special to a local community"
- 6. Evidence that it "holds particular local significance for its beauty or tranquillity"
- 7. Evidence that it "holds particular local significance for its historical or cultural value"
- 8. Evidence that it "holds particular local significance for its recreational value"
- 9. Evidence that it "holds particular local significance for the richness of its wildlife"
- 10. Evidence that it holds particular local significance for other ecosystem services
- 11. Evidence about the site's connectivity to other green areas for ecological or recreational purposes
- 12. Evidence that the green space holds particular local significance for any other reason

#### REFERENCE NUMBER OF THIS CANDIDATE LOCAL GREEN SPACE = V6

#### WORKING NAME OF THIS LOCAL GREEN SPACE = St Audrey's Close Community Centre Green

DATE of most recent amendment / addition to the dossier = 14 May 2019

## IN A NUTSHELL (max 100 words), the case for this Local Green Space:

This open space, sitting surrounded by St Audrey's Close's housing, is a much appreciated resource for the local community. It provides a close-to home area where children can play outside, including games with bat and ball, in part of Histon with no other village play area nearby. It is well used during school holidays and by parents with young children.

It is also valued by older generations, including those living in the sheltered accommodation nearby, as a wide vista to look out on and, at certain times of the day, to walk in and appreciate the tranquillity.

Contributors to this dossier (with initials to facilitate reference in the sources column):

Jon Pavey Jean Newman (JN)

	STATEMENTS ABOUT EACH TOPIC	EVIDENCE / SOURCES
		What evidence supports statement? References? Also provide the initials of the contributor and other relevant people for follow-up queries.
1	GENERAL INFORMATION	
1.1	Name(s) and location/address of site.  Some sites have several names, in which case mention all known names.	
	St Audrey's Close Community Centre Green. Open green space, just west of the Community Centre and surrounded by a loop of St Audrey's Close.	JN
1.2	Site location map(s) and photographs The plan can be at any scale but must show location and boundaries of the site. Indicate the scale. Add explanatory text about site location and boundaries	Has a map been provided? YES Have photos been provided? YES  Attach the map(s) and photo(s)
	in box below.	separately.
	The Green comprises a grass "island", surrounded by housing, on a loop of St Audrey's Close. The St Audrey's Community Centre faces the western side.	
1.3	Ownership of site Information on land ownership can be obtained from the <i>Land Registry</i> or local knowledge.	
	The site is owned and managed by South Cambridgeshire District Council.	
1.4	Is the owner aware of the potential designation as LGS? Do they support the designation?  Support is highly desirable, but it is possible for a site to be designated as a LGS, even if there are objections from the site owners.	
	South Cambridgeshire District Council is aware.	
1.5	Organisation(s) or individual(s) proposing the site for LGS designation e.g. the Parish Council itself, a specific community group, or an informal group of residents	
	Histon and Impington Parish Council	
1.6	Community served by the candidate LGS  Does the site serve the whole village or a particular geographic sector of it or a particular interest group?	
	The site is primarily used by residents of the St Audrey's Close area. There is easy access, also, for residents of the Manor Park / Somerset Road area.	

2	PLANNING HISTORY AND LEGAL	
	STATUS	
2.1	Is there currently a planning application for this site? If permitted, could part of the overall site still be used as a Local Green Space? No.	
2.2	Is the site currently allocated for development in the draft Neighbourhood Plan? If development were permitted, could part of the overall site still be used as a Local Green Space? No.	
2.3	Does the site have any existing legal protection of any kind and since when? Or has it ever been proposed for legal protection? e.g. Local Nature Reserve, Village Green, land designated as open access in agreement with the landowner. Also Protected Village Amenity Area PVAA, which is similar to LGS but not as strong in restricting development.	
	South Cambridgeshire District Council manage the open space for community use.	
3.	SIZE, SCALE AND "LOCAL NATURE" OF	
	CANDIDATE LGS	
3.1	Area of proposed site (preferably in hectares)	(No fixed limits. Cotswold District suggests an upper limit of 20ha for LGS designation)
	0.1ha	https://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.
3.2	Is the site an "extensive tract of land"? Extensive tracts can't be designated as LGS but "extensive" is not defined. How large is it in comparison to other groups of fields or areas of land in the vicinity? Does it feel like a local H&I site or something on a larger scale?	
	No. It is a small green surrounded by housing.	
3.3	What makes the site "local in character"?  How does the site connect physically, ecologically, visually and socially to the local area?	To avoid repetition you may be able to simply refer to other sections of this template e.g. on recreation.
	The site is used by families from the adjacent houses for outside time activities. It also provides a pleasant outlook for residents young and old, with for example, a display of spring flowers.	JN

4.	NEED FOR AND PROXIMITY /	
	ACCESSIBILITY OF GREEN SPACE	
4.1	Is there a particular need for a Local Green Space in this location?  e.g. is there a shortage of accessible green space in this location? Has the need been identified in other assessments or surveys?	Make this specific. General shortage in H&I is described in the framework document.
	The site is especially valuable for being in a quiet location on the doorstep of many family homes. It is used, for example, for informal bat and ball games. Other village play areas are some distance away (> 800m), making them far less suited for spur-of-the-moment outdoor activity.	JN
4.2	How far is the site from the community it serves? It should be in "reasonably close proximity" but this is not defined.	It may be helpful to attach a map or diagram showing proximity and access.
4.3	The site is in the middle of the community it serves.  Are there any barriers to the community accessing the site from their homes? If so, how could they be overcome? e.g. a busy road to be crossed	
	There are no barriers to entry. The site can be accessed across a loop of St Audrey's Close which is normally very quiet and has residents who use the site.	
5.	EVIDENCE THAT IT IS SPECIAL TO THE COMMUNITY	
5.1	List the community organisations, societies, local leaders, schools, organisations concerned with health and well-being, groups of residents or other groups, who have expressed support for the protection of this site?	Attach evidence, which could be letters of support, petitions, surveys, council resolutions etc.
	This is a much valued space; many young children and parents use the area for outside time together. Often with bats and balls, etc. It is well used in the school holidays. It is also a green lung, with a pleasant outlook enjoyed by residents young and old, including users of the Communal Building and surrounding sheltered housing.	JN plus JFP
6.	EVIDENCE OF LOCAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR	
	BEAUTY OR TRANQUILLITY	
6.1	Is this criterion relevant for this site? Yes.	If no, go on to the next section
6.2	If yes, describe briefly the views and other characteristics that contribute to this beauty and/or tranquillity. Why do local people consider it to be of particular significance?	If possible, provide photographic or other evidence.

	Whilst the area is used by families for games, it is also a spot where older residents, in particular, can enjoy a quiet walk or to look out on. This applies particularly outside school holidays, early in the day and on summer evenings.	
7.	EVIDENCE OF LOCAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL VALUE	H&I Historical Society could compile this section, where relevant.
7.1	Is this criterion relevant for this site?	If no, go on to the next section
	No.	
7.2	Describe the associated historic buildings, memorials, gardens, landscape features (e.g. old hedgerows, trees or ponds), cultural activities or relevance to the historic development of the village (e.g. fruit and jam production).	If possible, provide references or other evidence.
	No.	

8.	EVIDENCE OF LOCAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR RECREATION	H&I Walking Group, Histon Hobblers, Cycling Group, youth groups and others could compile this section, where relevant.
8.1	Is this criterion relevant for this site?	If no, go on to the next section
8.2	Yes.  Is the site used for playing sport? If so, which sports, involving which sections of the community? Since when has it been used for sport? Is it free or does it require club membership?  No.	
8.3	Is the public able to physically access the site?  e.g. public rights of way across or adjacent to the site. Has access been allowed hitherto on a discretionary basis? Is there access to the whole site or just part of it? Is there good disabled access? (A site can still be designated even if there is no public access).  South Cambridgeshire District Council manage the site for public use. A low road curb runs around the	
	site but there is no dropped curb for disabled access.	
8.4	Is the site used for walking, dog-walking, foraging (berries etc), birding, nature observation, horseriding, cycling, youth gatherings, children's games or other informal recreation?  If so, how much is it used and by which parts of the community? Since when has it had these uses?  The site provides a wide open space in the community, adding visual amenity.  Its other main use is for informal children's games.	JN / JFP
	It has had these uses since the space was created when the St Audrey's Close housing was built. Original a larger space was provided in the development but part was lost when the St Audrey's Communal Building was constructed at the end of the 1980s.	
9.	EVIDENCE OF LOCAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR	
	RICHNESS OF WILDLIFE	
9.1	Is this criterion relevant for this site?	If no, go on to the next section
0.2	No.	
9.2	What wildlife of interest to the community has been found on the site? Include plants, fungi, mammals, birds, amphibians, invertebrates etc. Explain briefly the use of the site by the species concerned and the conservation requirements. Mention any population trends, supported by monitoring data or anecdotal evidence.	Consider sightings within the past five years. To organise species information, a checklist of potential species will be made available. Additional species can be added, as needed. The checklist and other evidence should be provided as an attachment.

9.3	Are any of the habitats or species found on the site considered to be of special importance? e.g. habitats or species in national or regional lists of priorities or in a biodiversity action plan. Explain briefly the use of the site by the species concerned and the conservation requirements, if not already covered above.	For national and county priority species, see <a href="#">CPbiodiversity</a> . Consider sightings within the past five years. Elaborate further in an attachment, if necessary
9.4	Are there trees with preservation orders in the site? If so, how many, which species and what special characteristics?	Parish Council tree warden could provide the information for this question. South Cambs Local Plan policy NH/7 (paras 6.32-6.33) on ancient woodlands and veteran trees is relevant on this question.
9.5	No.  What has been the involvement of community members in studying, observing or simply enjoying the wildlife of this site?  Have local people been monitoring the site or recording its species and, if so, for how long? Have they produced any data sets, articles, reports, blogs, talks, exhibits etc about any of the wildlife present at the site?	You could attach copies of materials produced, if appropriate.
10.	EVIDENCE OF LOCAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR OTHER ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	There is unlikely to be quantitative data on ecosystem services, but you can make qualitative arguments – as specific as possible. In some cases there may be supporting anecdotal evidence (e.g. of pollinator activity).
10.1	Is this criterion relevant for this site?	If no, go on to the next section
10.2	No.  Does the site contribute significantly to flood prevention, absorption of rainwater or maintenance of water quality (by reducing run-off of silt, agrochemicals and other pollutants into water courses)? If so, describe briefly the function and how significant it is likely to be.	Attach supporting documents, diagrams etc, if needed.
10.3	Does the site provide significant habitat for pollinators or for predators valuable in controlling plant pests (especially if near allotments, orchards, gardens)? If so, describe briefly the pollinators and/or predators concerned.	Attach supporting documents, diagrams etc, if needed.
10.4	Does the site contribute significantly as a barrier to noise pollution or air pollution, as a windbreak, or in maintaining a favourable microclimate (e.g. a moist, cool area during hot, dry periods)?	Attach supporting documents, diagrams etc, if needed.

11.	CONNECTIVITY TO OTHER GREEN AREAS	As explained in the Framework document, ecological connectivity is essential for long-term sustainability of the other local values, while connecting sites with vegetated paths greatly enhances recreational value.
11.1	Is this criterion relevant for this site?	If no, go on to the next section
	No.	
11.2	Is the site connected – or potentially connected – ecologically to other green areas? If so, which other areas? Which kinds of wildlife benefit from the connectivity?  The connectivity could be physically continuous, e.g. a hedgerow, or depend on sites being close enough for birds or insects to move between them. If the connectivity is weak or only potential, indicate briefly how it could be enhanced e.g. by restoring vegetation alongside a drainage ditch.	Attach a map or diagram to illustrate the connectivity.
	No.	
11.3	Is the site connected – or potentially connected – for recreational purposes to other green areas? If so, which other areas and for which kinds of recreation? Which parts of the community use the connected green areas and how much? This will generally be about walking, dog-walking, running, horse-riding and cycling routes, which link green areas in a loop. If the connectivity is only potential, indicate briefly how it could be achieved e.g. by establishing an additional permissive way.	Attach a map or diagram to illustrate the connectivity, or simply cross-refer to the ecological connectivity, if the links are similar.
	-	
12.	EVIDENCE OF ANY OTHER SPECIAL LOCAL SIGNIFICANCE	
	If there is any other special characteristic or local significance relevant to the site's designation as a Local Green Space but not covered above, please state it here.  No.	
L		